### **Franchise Tax Board**

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author:	Holli	ngsworth & Soto, et al.	Analyst:	Darrine Dist	efano	Bill Number:	SB 438
Related I	Bills: _	See Prior Analysis	Telephone	: <u>845-6458</u>	Amended D	ete: <u>02/0</u>	4/04
			Attorney:	Patrick Kus	siak	Sponsor:	
SUBJE	ECT:	Disaster Loss Deduction San Diego, & Ventura C		•	er/Los Angeles,	San Bernard	dino, Riverside,
		MENT AMENDMENTS A amended <u>January 12, 20</u>		D. Amendm	ents reflect sug	gestions of p	revious analysis
AM	1ENDI	MENTS IMPACT REVEN	JE. A ne	w revenue e	stimate is provid	led.	
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended							
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.							
DE	PART	MENT POSITION CHAN	GED TO				
		DER OF PREVIOUS AND PPLIES.	ALYSIS O	F BILL AS A	MENDED <u>Janua</u>	ary 12, 2004	
<u>X</u> OT	HER	- See comments below.					

## SUMMARY

This bill would allow taxpayers special tax treatment, called disaster loss treatment, for losses sustained as a result of the Southern California wildfires, losses related to the wildfires, and the San Simeon earthquake and related casualties.

This bill would also provide an allocation to local agencies from the state for financial assistance to repair or rebuild real property related to government services. This analysis will not address these changes to the Government Code, as they do not impact the department or state income tax revenue.

## SUMARY OF AMENDMENTS

The February 4, 2004, amendments:

- Add a principal author and several co-authors to the bill,
- · Add casualties related to the wildfires, and
- Add damage that occurred as a result of the San Simeon earthquake and related casualties to the list of disasters under the Personal Income Tax Law and the Corporation Tax Law.

The February 4<sup>th</sup> amendments resolve the department's technical considerations with respect to the bill as amended January 12, 2004. The amendments do not impact the department's operations. The amendments also do not affect the original revenue estimate provided in the department's January 12<sup>th</sup> analysis because the original estimate anticipated these amendments and included both the casualties related to the wildfires and the San Simeon earthquake. The revenue estimate is provided below for the author's convenience. The remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as amended January 12, 2004, still applies.

Board Position:			Legislative Director	Date
S	NA	NP		
SA	O	NAR	Brian Putler	2/19/04
N	OUA	X PENDING		

Senate Bill 438 (Hollingsworth & Soto, et.al) Amended February 4, 2004 Page 2

## **POSITION**

Pending.

# **ECONOMIC IMPACT**

### **Revenue Estimate**

Based on the discussion below, the revenue losses from this bill are as follows (assumes fast track legislation enacted before April 2004):

Estimated PIT Revenue Impact SB 438 as Amended February 4, 2004 (In Millions) Fiscal Year Impact								
2003-2004 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07								
Minor loss	-\$5	-\$9	-\$4					

Minor = Less than \$500,000

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this bill.

## **Revenue Discussion**

The impact of this bill would depend on the amount of disaster losses carried back, carried forward at 100% instead of 60%, and the amount of carryover losses deducted in subsequent years.

The estimated losses were determined in several steps. First, it is assumed that the special disaster loss treatment provided in this bill would be for losses sustained as a result of the Southern California wildfires, the expanded wildfire disaster coverage, and the San Simeon earthquake. Second, the total amount of damages for the Southern California wildfires was estimated to be \$2.8 billion and the total amount of damages from the San Simeon earthquake was estimated to be \$34 million in private losses.

It is estimated that approximately 20% of fire damage and 90% of the earthquake damage would not be reimbursed by insurance coverage for a total deductible loss of \$590 million (\$2.8\$ billion x 20% + \$34\$ million x 90% = \$590 million).

In order for a taxpayer to calculate the amount of disaster loss that can be deducted, the taxpayer uses three factors. First, the loss must be limited to the basis of the property (cost of the property plus cost of any improvements minus deductions such as depreciation). Second, any insurance proceeds or reimbursements must be deducted. Third, the taxpayer subtracts 10% of their federal adjusted gross income (AGI). The remaining amount is the disaster loss that can be claimed by the taxpayer. Using the department's disaster loss model, after applying qualifying losses for AGI and basis limitations of \$147 million and an estimated first year usage of \$148 million (historical use of similar losses under current law), it is projected that \$250 million in losses would be allowed to be carried forward under the bill (\$590 million - \$147 million - \$148 million = \$295 million).

Senate Bill 438 (Hollingsworth & Soto, et.al) Amended February 4, 2004 Page 3

At a 6% average marginal tax rate, the total revenue loss over a period of a few fiscal years is estimated to be approximately \$18 million, all attributable under the Personal Income Tax Law (PITL) ( $$295 \text{ million} \times 6\% \sim $18 \text{ million}$ ).

## LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Darrine Distefano Brian Putler

Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

845-6458 845-6333

<u>darrine.distefano2@ftb.ca.gov</u> <u>brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov</u>